



THE UNIVERSITY *of* EDINBURGH

## Edinburgh Research Explorer

### Role of nuclear RNA in regulating chromatin structure and transcription

**Citation for published version:**

Michieletto, D & Gilbert, N 2019, 'Role of nuclear RNA in regulating chromatin structure and transcription', *Current opinion in cell biology*, vol. 58, pp. 120-125. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ceb.2019.03.007>

**Digital Object Identifier (DOI):**

[10.1016/j.ceb.2019.03.007](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ceb.2019.03.007)

**Link:**

[Link to publication record in Edinburgh Research Explorer](#)

**Document Version:**

Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

**Published In:**

Current opinion in cell biology

**General rights**

Copyright for the publications made accessible via the Edinburgh Research Explorer is retained by the author(s) and / or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing these publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

**Take down policy**

The University of Edinburgh has made every reasonable effort to ensure that Edinburgh Research Explorer content complies with UK legislation. If you believe that the public display of this file breaches copyright please contact [openaccess@ed.ac.uk](mailto:openaccess@ed.ac.uk) providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.



# Role of Nuclear RNA in Regulating Chromatin Structure and Transcription

Davide Michieletto<sup>1,2</sup> and Nick Gilbert<sup>1,\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup>*Medical Research Council Human Genetics Unit,  
Institute of Genetics and Molecular Medicine,  
University of Edinburgh, Edinburgh, EH4 2XU, UK*


<sup>2</sup>*School of Physics and Astronomy, University of Edinburgh, EH9 3FD, UK*

**The importance of three-dimensional chromatin organisation in genome regulation has never been clearer. But in spite of the enormous technological advances to probe chromatin organisation in vivo, there is still a lack of mechanistic understanding of how such an arrangement is achieved. Here we review emerging evidence pointing to an intriguing role of nuclear RNA in shaping large-scale chromatin structure and regulating genome function. We suggest this role may be achieved through the formation of a dynamic nuclear mesh that can exploit ATP-driven processes and phase separation of RNA-binding proteins to tune its assembly and material properties.**

There has been a dramatic increase in the number of studies directed at understanding and quantifying large-scale chromatin organisation in the cell nucleus. Many of these adopted methods based on FISH or chromosome conformation capture (3C) [1], nowadays virtually indispensable to obtain high-resolution data on genome architecture. Yet, these tools are oblivious to the mechanistic causes dictating specific chromatin conformations. Thus, alongside the development of 3C-based methods, there is still an urgent need to develop experiments and models to shed mechanistic insight into the key molecular players that shape chromatin structure. One promising element in this picture is RNA: while its textbook role is that of facilitating the translation of the genetic code into proteins, there is a surprising lack of understanding for the existence, and the functional role, of a large mass of RNA which is retained in the nucleus in interphase (hereafter “nuclear RNA”). One of the best known examples of this alternative form of RNA is that of Xist, which is known to play a crucial architectural role in silencing the inactive X [2]. This prominent example is also highly descriptive of the common approach towards RNA-mediated chromatin regulation, i.e. by specialised instances and at particular genomic loci. On the contrary, recent evidence suggest that the role of nuclear RNA is spread at genome-wide level and should be addressed as such. In this article, we review recent attempts to advance our understanding of genome-wide chromatin regulation and organisation by nuclear RNA and finally discuss emerging views relating nuclear RNA to chromatin decompaction and transcription micro-environments.

## From Static Nuclear Matrix to Dynamic Nuclear Mesh

Nuclear extraction experiments in the 20th century suggested the existence of an extensive “nuclear matrix” which would permeate the cell nucleus even in the absence of chromatin [3] (Fig. 1A). These experiments were performed in extreme conditions and



**FIG. 1. A** Sketch of the original concept of static nuclear matrix made of hnRNP proteins and RNA. **B** New model based on nuclear RNA and SAF-A forming a dynamic, localised and recyclable scaffold which organises large-scale chromatin folding.

no definitive proof in support of such static nuclear-spanning structure could be provided using more physiological approaches. Even though the idea of a static nuclear-spanning matrix contributing to chromatin organisation is now abandoned and largely surpassed, these experiments provided first evidence that nuclear proteins and RNA could play an architectural role in large-scale chromatin structure.

The family of heterogeneous nuclear ribonucleoproteins (hnRNP) was found to have a key role in forming the nuclear matrix and, in particular, scaffold attachment factor A (SAF-A, also called HNRNP-U) was identified as one sub-family of proteins with the highest affinity to scaffold attachment regions in the genome [4]. This protein is found across many cell types and its mutations have recently been associated with severe neurological disorders [5] and cancer [6]. HnRNPs are known to interact with nuclear RNA,

\* Nick.Gilbert ed.ac.uk

in turn regulating the stabilisation and maturation of mRNA [7]; yet, their role as architectural elements of the genome is still poorly understood.

One recent development in this direction was the suggestion that nuclear RNAs can themselves act as regulatory factors and nuclear organisers [8]. For instance, long non-coding RNA (lncRNA) has been suggested to facilitate enhancer-promoter looping in cis, thus up-regulating the expression of nearby genes [9]. Other non-coding RNAs such as XIST, FIRRE and COT1 are abundant in the interphase nucleus. COT1 associates with euchromatin in interphase and is thought to maintain its decompacted state [10, 11]; FIRRE and XIST co-localise with the inactive X-chromosome and determine its trans-chromosomal interactions [12, 13]. Many lncRNAs are known to interact with hnRNP proteins, and in particular with SAF-A [10, 12, 14], yet the functional relevance of this interaction is not clear. A crucial element in this picture was added only recently by showing that SAF-A can regulate chromosome structure through interaction with nuclear RNA [15]\*\*.

A role for nuclear RNA in regulating chromatin structure is not well established, however much RNA is not exported to the cytoplasm (e.g. spliced out introns, other nuclear RNA species) and must presumably be degraded in the nucleus [16]. This implies that any nuclear structure that is assembled employing RNA cannot be static but constantly recycling degraded RNA with newly synthesised ones. In light of this, the original concept of a static nuclear matrix must be re-evaluated in terms of a dynamic scaffold possibly made of hnRNP and nuclear RNA that interfaces with the three-dimensional chromatin structure (Fig. 1B).

### Global Regulation by Nuclear RNA

HnRNP proteins have been shown to associate non-coding and intronic RNAs [17, 18]. For this reason, one may speculate that the self-assembly of a dynamic nuclear mesh would not be restricted to specific loci in the genome, but could be a general mechanism to regulate local chromatin architecture near actively transcribed loci. Regulation of transcription and chromosome structure by nuclear RNA is a long-standing topic [19, 20] and both coding and non-coding RNAs have been postulated to possess a generic structural role in chromatin architecture for a long time [19, 21, 22]. Yet, a mechanical model linking transcription, nuclear RNA and hnRNP proteins is still missing. Here, we propose that hnRNP, and SAF-A in particular, guide the self-assembly of a dynamic RNA-based mesh (Fig. 1). The precise mechanism of this self-assembly is still unclear but recent evidence indicates that SAF-A can switch between a monomeric and an oligomerised state upon ATP binding, and in the presence of RNA [15]\*\*. In line with this finding, another study performing HiC and DamID in mouse hepatocytes after SAF-A depletion reports a global condensation of chromatin and compartment switching leading to an overall reduc-

tion in chromatin contacts [23]\*. While the model of a self-assembled dynamic mesh is intriguing, it may be over-simplified. For instance, one element in this picture that is missing is the observation that hnRNP proteins often display intrinsically disordered regions (IDR, also known as low complexity domains [24]). As a consequence, they can undergo phase separation under a range of physiological conditions [25], i.e. they can convert from a mixed and uniform state into a demixed one whereby (spherical) condensates display higher internal density than their surroundings. The functional role of this phenomenon, whether relevant for regulating nuclear organisation, remains unclear and a topic of intense research [26].

### Phase Separation and Nuclear RNA: Compartments without Boundaries

The eukaryotic nucleus is a complex and heterogeneous environment in which a multitude of biological processes occur simultaneously. One requirement for the viability of a cell is that these processes should not interfere with one another: one way to achieve this is to compartmentalise operations [29]. By staining different proteins, one can readily see a plethora of sub-nuclear structures, including Cajal bodies, nuclear speckles, RNP granules and nucleoli. These structures appear as nuclear compartments without boundaries [30]\* and some of them require RNA to be formed [19]. One increasingly mentioned mechanism through which these structures can assemble is via phase separation [31–36]. This is a topic of current debate which has been recently well reviewed (e.g., Refs. [26, 37][30]\*) and recent evidence suggest that this phenomenon may play important regulatory roles in transcription [38, 39][40]\*. Here we emphasise the potential different types of phase separation of nuclear proteins. In one case, also called liquid-liquid phase separation [30]\*, clusters of proteins coarsen to form a condensate by weak multivalent self-attraction (Fig. 2A). This might be the case for phosphorylated HP1 which associates in vitro to form droplets [36]; in the other, proteins that can multi-valently bind to chromatin segments are effectively attracted to one another through entropic forces, even though they display no self-attraction [41] (Fig. 2B). This polymer-polymer phase separation [30]\*, or “bridging-induced attraction” [41], drives a type of demixing which requires a polymer substrate, such as chromatin, in order to occur. This pathway is thus more difficult to prove in vitro as it requires a model of synthetic chromatin. Very recent evidence appear to suggest that both pathways can take place in vivo [42]. Additionally, ubiquitous transcription factors that are known to bind chromatin, such as Polycomb Repressive Complexes or HP1, may be conjectured to give rise to nuclear bodies through bridging-induced attraction in vivo [43].

While some nuclear bodies show liquid-like properties such as coarsening (Fig. 2C), their behaviour cannot be fully described by thermodynamic models [29, 44] and accounting for the constant influx and




FIG. 2. **A** Liquid-liquid phase separation via protein-protein interactions yields membraneless bodies which become spherical driven by surface tension. **B** Polymer-polymer phase separation via protein-chromatin interactions yields membraneless aggregates of proteins containing chromatin in their interior (TF = transcription factor). **C** Thermodynamics-driven droplet coarsening and Ostwald ripening [27] yields slowly or non-recoverable droplets under FRAP. **D** Non-equilibrium arrested phase separation via ATP-switch [28]\*\* yields non-growing droplets whose constituents are ever-recycling: via FRAP they appear as recoverable bodies with free diffusing and bound sub-populations of proteins.

consumption of ATP is thus required. The role of non-equilibrium processes on the formation, and phase separation, of membraneless nuclear compartments is only starting to be addressed (see Fig. 2D) [28]\*\* [45]\* [46]\*. Mathematical models show that the consumption of energy via ATP consumption can arrest thermodynamics-driven full phase separation and can stabilise a state in which proteins form micro-phase separated aggregates, i.e. a multitude of non-growing droplets with finite size and made of ever-recycling components (Fig. 2D). Such a situation is not achievable in equilibrium systems, where thermodynamic coalescence and Ostwald ripening (Fig. 2C), the same controlling the demixing of oil in water, would push the system to minimise the interface between different phases [27, 47].

A recent intriguing development in this picture is that RNA plays a non-trivial role in determining the phase separation properties of a multitude of proteins. In particular, low concentration of RNA appears to promote the phase separation of RNA binding proteins or proteins with intrinsically disordered regions such as FUS [48]\*\* and hnRNP [49].

As mentioned previously, the hnRNP family of proteins possesses RNA-binding domains and SAF-A also has an AAA+ domain with ATPase activity [15]\*\*. This suggests that its phase separation properties are expected to be dependent on both RNA and ATP. While far from being characterised in full, we hypothesise that the phase separation phenomenology of RNA-binding proteins with ATPase domains will be more pervasive than those of other non-ATP-

consuming proteins such as HP1. The characterisation of these features, and the understanding of their implication on biological processes, chromatin organisation and the concept of dynamic mesh presented above, remain an exciting challenge for the near future to be tackled via experiments and non-equilibrium mathematical models.

### Micro-Phase Separated Hydro-Gels Defend Transcription Micro-Environments

The bimodal nature of SAF-A, i.e. displaying both a specific RNA-binding domain and an intrinsically disordered region which can drive phase separation through non-specific interactions [25], is particularly suited to the assembly of a localised structure that must resist strain, such as a phase separated (hydro-)gel. **In this model, SAF-A is locally concentrated via phase-separation and it then forms oligomers in presence of RNA; these elongated fibres then cross-link together to form a resilient mesh with high internal water content.** At the same time, SAF-A also displays ATPase activity which appears to trigger its de-oligomerisation [15]\*\*: this is expected to affect the material properties of a hydro-gel so to make it effectively fluid on time-scales much longer than SAF-A (de-)oligomerisation and effectively solid, or resilient to stress, on shorter time-scales (Fig. 3).

While this model remains to be proved both in vivo and in vitro, it is tempting to connect it to other recent findings. Indeed, SAF-A depletion has been shown to mainly affect euchromatin compaction






FIG. 3. **A** The self-assembly and renewal of a dynamic mesh is driven by nuclear RNA (e.g., introns and lncRNA) and SAF-A. In turn, this mesh may facilitate transcription by recruiting or trapping transcription factors (TF). **B** The mesh is dynamic, so that it changes in time (fluid-like) but it is resilient to acute mechanical stress (solid-like). Mechanical stress that is prolonged beyond the time-scale of the network renewal affects the structure and hence its function (e.g. can no longer recruit/trap TFs).

and to leave heterochromatin-rich loci largely unaffected [15]\*\*[23]\*. Because of this, we may speculate that a SAF-A based hydro-gel may be preferentially located at generic active chromatin loci in turn contributing to maintain their decompacted state (Fig. 3) [50][51]\*\*. This conformational state can only be maintained by a 3D micro-environment that can sustain external stress originating from the natural tendency of chromatin to self-associate [52–54]. Whether such micro-environment provides other benefits to transcription remains to be discovered. For instance, it is tempting to speculate that the concept of “sticky caves”, seen through the dynamics of transcription factors such as SOX2 [55], may reflect the presence of an underlying fractal structure

such as that of a gel nearby to transcriptionally active chromatin regions (Fig. 3). At the same time, we can speculate that the history-dependent recovery of RNA-production after repeated stimuli in optogenetic experiments may also be seen as indicative of the assembly of a micro-environment that promotes transcription after the first stimulus [56]\*. **Additionally, recent evidence suggest that transcription inhibition leads to a reduction in chromatin dynamics [57], which is compatible with the destabilisation of a 3D micro-environment that involves nuclear RNA and constrains chromatin motion.**

The final proof of the presented model would be to test the phase separation and material properties of hnRNP proteins and SAF-A in vitro. The “rheology” (from the Greek “panta rhei”, i.e. everything flows) of the self-assembled material, whether liquid, solid or something in between, under different RNA conditions will shed much light into the functional role of this class of proteins. The biophysical characterisation of RNA-dependent phase separation would open a new mechanistic understanding of how hnRNPs, and other RNA-binding proteins, may regulate chromatin structure and genome function through the interaction with nuclear and non-coding RNAs.

In conclusion, we argue that nuclear RNA and associated proteins, such as SAF-A, are key regulators of genome architecture which need to be better understood to achieve a comprehensive picture of nuclear organisation. We believe that the concept of arrested phase separation via non-equilibrium (ATP-driven) mechanisms and interactions with nuclear RNA is a powerful model to describe the formation of ever-recycling membraneless compartments with self-limiting sizes, i.e. nuclear bodies. Furthermore, we speculate that similar mechanisms may underlie the self-assembly of a dynamic nuclear hydro-gel which supports and defends large-scale chromatin structure and transcriptionally-active micro-environments.

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

**Acknowledgements** – For this work DM was partially supported by the European Research Council (CoG 648050, THREEDCELLPHYSICS). NG is UK Medical Research Council Senior non-clinical Fellow (MR/J00913X/1).

- [1] Job Dekker, Marc A. Marti-Renom, and Leonid A. Mirny. Exploring the three-dimensional organization of genomes: Interpreting chromatin interaction data. *Nat. Rev. Genet.*, 14(6):390–403, 2013.
- [2] Edith Heard and Robert A. Martienssen. Transgenerational epigenetic inheritance: Myths and mechanisms. *Cell*, 157(1):95–109, 2014.
- [3] T Pederson. Half a century of “the nuclear matrix”. *Mol. Biol. Cell*, 11(3):799–805, 2000.
- [4] Helmut Romig, Frank O Fackelmayer, Andrea Renz, Uwe Ramsperger, and Arndt Richter. Characterization of SAF-A, a novel nuclear DNA binding protein from HeLa cells with high affinity for nuclear ma-

trix/scaffold attachment DNA elements. *EMBO J.*, 11(9):3431–3440, 1992.

- [5] Nuria C. Bramswig, Hermann Josef Lüdecke, Fadi F. Hamdan, Janine Altmüller, Filippo Beleggia, Nursel H. Elcioglu, Catharine Freyer, Erica H. Gerkes, Yasemin Kendir Demirkol, Kelly G. Knupp, Alma Kuechler, Yun Li, Daniel H. Lowenstein, Jacques L. Michaud, Kristen Park, Alexander P.A. Stegmann, Hermine E. Veenstra-Knol, Thomas Wieland, Bernd Wollnik, Hartmut Engels, Tim M. Strom, Tjitske Kleefstra, and Dagmar Wieczorek. Heterozygous HN-RNP variants cause early onset epilepsy and severe intellectual disability. *Hum. Genet.*, 136(7):821–834,

- 2017.
- [6] Thomas Geuens, Delphine Bouhy, and Vincent Timmerman. The hnRNP family: insights into their role in health and disease. *Hum. Genet.*, 135(8):851–867, 2016.
  - [7] G Dreyfuss. hnRNP Proteins and the Biogenesis of mRNA. *Annu Rev Biochem*, 62(1):289–321, 1993.
  - [8] Marta Melé and John L. Rinn. "Cat's Cradling" the 3D Genome by the Act of LncRNA Transcription. *Mol. Cell*, 62(5):657–664, 2016.
  - [9] Ulf Andersson Örom, Thomas Derrien, Malte Beringer, Kiranmai Gumireddy, Alessandro Gardini, Giovanni Bussotti, Fan Lai, Matthias Zytznicki, Cedric Notredame, Qihong Huang, Roderic Guigo, and Ramin Shiekhattar. Long noncoding RNAs with enhancer-like function in human cells. *Cell*, 143(1):46–58, 2010.
  - [10] Lisa L. Hall, Dawn M. Carone, Alvin V. Gomez, Heather J. Kolpa, Meg Byron, Nitish Mehta, Frank O. Fackelmayer, and Jeanne B. Lawrence. Stable COT-1 repeat RNA is abundant and is associated with euchromatic interphase chromosomes. *Cell*, 156(5):907–919, 2014.
  - [11] Lisa L. Hall and Jeanne B. Lawrence. RNA as a fundamental component of interphase chromosomes: Could repeats prove key? *Curr. Opin. Genet. Dev.*, 37:137–147, 2016.
  - [12] Ezgi Hacisuleyman, Loyal A. Goff, Cole Trapnell, Adam Williams, Jorge Henao-Mejia, Lei Sun, Patrick McClanahan, David G. Hendrickson, Martin Sauvageau, David R. Kelley, Michael Morse, Jesse Engreitz, Eric S. Lander, Mitch Guttman, Harvey F. Lodish, Richard Flavell, Arjun Raj, and John L. Rinn. Topological organization of multichromosomal regions by the long intergenic noncoding RNA Firre. *Nat. Struct. Mol. Biol.*, 21(2):198–206, 2014.
  - [13] Jesse M Engreitz, Amy Pandya-jones, Patrick McDonel, Alexander Shishkin, Klara Sirokman, Christine Surka, Sabah Kadri, Jeffrey Xing, Alon Goren, Eric S Lander, Kathrin Plath, and Mitchell Guttman. The Xist lncRNA Exploits Three-Dimensional Genome Architecture to Spread Across the X Chromosome. *Science (80-. )*, 341(August):1–9, 2013.
  - [14] Colleen A. McHugh, Chun Kan Chen, Amy Chow, Christine F. Surka, Christina Tran, Patrick McDonel, Amy Pandya-Jones, Mario Blanco, Christina Burghard, Annie Moradian, Michael J. Sweredoski, Alexander A. Shishkin, Julia Su, Eric S. Lander, Sonja Hess, Kathrin Plath, and Mitchell Guttman. The Xist lncRNA interacts directly with SHARP to silence transcription through HDAC3. *Nature*, 521(7551):232–236, 2015.
  - [15] Ryu-suke Nozawa, Lora Boteva, Dinesh C Soares, Catherine Naughton, Alison R Dun, Bernard Ramasahoye, Peter C Bruton, Rebecca S Saleeb, Maria Arnedo, Bill Hill, R Duncan, Sutherland K Maciver, and Nick Gilbert. SAF-A regulates interphase chromosome structure through oligomerisation with chromatin-associated RNAs. *Cell*, 169(7):1214–1227.e18, 2017. **\*\*This paper is the first providing evidence that SAF-A directly regulates euchromatin decompaction at gene loci. The authors show that knock down of SAF-A leads to euchromatin compaction while heterochromatin organisation is unaffected.**
  - [16] Ryu-Suke Nozawa and Nick Gilbert. RNA: Nuclear Glue for Folding the Genome. *Trends in Cell Biology*, 29(3):201–211, mar 2019.
  - [17] R Xiao, P Tang, B Yang, J Huang, Y Zhou, C Shao, H Li, H Sun, Y Zhang, and X-D Fu. Nuclear Matrix Factor hnRNP U/SAF-A Exerts a Global Control of Alternative Splicing by Regulating U2 snRNP Maturation Rui. *Mol. Cell*, 45(5):656–668, 2012.
  - [18] David Hendrickson, David R. Kelley, Danielle Tenen, Bradley Bernstein, and John L. Rinn. Widespread RNA binding by chromatin-associated proteins. *Genome Biol.*, 17(1):1–18, 2016.
  - [19] Maiwen Caudron-Herger and Karsten Rippe. Nuclear architecture by RNA. *Curr. Opin. Genet. Dev.*, 22(2):179–187, 2012.
  - [20] Chris P. Ponting, Peter L. Oliver, and Wolf Reik. Evolution and Functions of Long Noncoding RNAs. *Cell*, 136(4):629–641, 2009.
  - [21] Maiwen Caudron-Herger, Katharina Müller-Ott, Jan Philipp Mallm, Caroline Marth, Ute Schmidt, Katalin Fejes-Tóth, and Karsten Rippe. Coding RNAs with a non-coding function: Maintenance of open chromatin structure. *Nucleus*, 2(5):410–424, 2011.
  - [22] Ulf Andersson Örom and Ramin Shiekhattar. Long noncoding RNAs usher in a new era in the biology of enhancers. *Cell*, 154(6):1190–1193, 2013.
  - [23] Hui Fan, Pin Lv, Xiangru Huo, Jicheng Wu, Qianfeng Wang, Lu Cheng, Yun Liu, Qi Qun Tang, Ling Zhang, Feng Zhang, Xiaoqi Zheng, Hao Wu, and Bo Wen. The nuclear matrix protein HNRNPU maintains 3D genome architecture globally in mouse hepatocytes. *Genome Res.*, 28(2):192–202, 2018. **\*This study performed HiC, ChIP-seq, DamID and RNA-seq in SAF-A depleted mouse hepatocyte cells. They find SAF-A enriched in A-compartments and TAD-borders. Depletion of SAF-A induce chromatin compaction and loss of chromatin loops. The authors conclude that SAF-A is a major regulator of 3D genome organisation in mammals.**
  - [24] Shasha Chong, Claire Dugast-Darzacq, Zhe Liu, Peng Dong, Gina M. Dailey, Claudia Cattoglio, Alec Heckert, Sambashiva Banala, Luke Lavis, Xavier Darzacq, and Robert Tjian. Imaging dynamic and selective low-complexity domain interactions that control gene transcription. *Science (80-. )*, 361(6400):eaar2555, 2018.
  - [25] Adriano Aguzzi and Matthias Altmeyer. Phase Separation: Linking Cellular Compartmentalization to Disease. *Trends Cell Biol.*, 26(7):547–558, 2016.
  - [26] Anthony A Hyman, Christoph A Weber, and Frank Jülicher. Liquid-Liquid Phase Separation in Biology. *Annu. Rev. Cell Dev. Biol.*, 30(1):39–58, 2014.
  - [27] P. G. de Gennes. Dynamics of fluctuations and spinodal decomposition in polymer blends. *J. Chem. Phys.*, 72(1981):4756, 1980.
  - [28] Chris A. Brackley, Benno Liebchen, Davide Michieletto, Francois Mouvet, Peter R. Cook, and Davide Marenduzzo. Ephemeral Protein Binding to DNA Shapes Stable Nuclear Bodies and Chromatin Domains. *Biophys J.*, 112(6):1085–1093, 2017. **\*\*This paper reports large-scale molecular dynamics simulations and theoretical calculations to characterise polymer-polymer phase separation of chromatin-binding proteins undergoing ATP-mediated switching: they find that ATP-switching can regulate the formation of nuclear bodies and compartments in simulated HiC maps.**
  - [29] C. P. Brangwynne, T. J. Mitchison, and A. A. Hyman. Active liquid-like behavior of nucleoli determines their size and shape in *Xenopus laevis* oocytes. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.*, 108(11):4334–4339, 2011.
  - [30] Fabian Erdel and Karsten Rippe. Formation of Chromatin Subcompartments by Phase Separation. *Bio-*

- phys J.*, 114(10):2262–2270, 2018. *\*This paper surveys different models of phase separation to explain the formation of nuclear bodies and protein aggregates and proposes experimental ways that could be employed to identify them in vivo.*
- [31] Chiu Fan Lee, Clifford P. Brangwynne, Jöbin Gharakhani, Anthony A. Hyman, and Frank Jülicher. Spatial organization of the cell cytoplasm by position-dependent phase separation. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 111(8):1–5, 2013.
  - [32] David Zwicker, Markus Decker, Steffen Jaensch, Anthony A. Hyman, and Frank Jülicher. Centrosomes are autocatalytic droplets of pericentriolar material organized by centrioles. *Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. USA*, 111(26):E2636–E2645, 2014.
  - [33] Lian Zhu and Clifford P Brangwynne. Nuclear bodies: the emerging biophysics of nucleoplasmic phases. *Curr. Opin. Cell Biol.*, 34:23–30, jun 2015.
  - [34] Marina Feric, Niles Vaidya, Tyler S. Harmon, Diana M. Mitrea, Lian Zhu, Tiffany M. Richardson, Richard W. Kriwacki, Rohit V. Pappu, and Clifford P. Brangwynne. Coexisting Liquid Phases Underlie Nucleolar Subcompartments. *Cell*, 165(7):1686–1697, 2016.
  - [35] Amy R. Strom, Alexander V. Emelyanov, Mustafa Mir, Dmitry V. Fyodorov, Xavier Darzacq, and Gary H. Karpen. Phase separation drives heterochromatin domain formation. *Nature*, 547(7662):241–245, 2017.
  - [36] Adam G. Larson, Daniel Elnatan, Madeline M. Keenen, Michael J. Trnka, Jonathan B. Johnston, Alma L. Burlingame, David A. Agard, Sy Redding, and Geeta J. Narlikar. Liquid droplet formation by HP1 $\alpha$  suggests a role for phase separation in heterochromatin. *Nature*, 547(7662):236–240, 2017.
  - [37] Clifford P. Brangwynne, Peter Tompa, and Rohit V. Pappu. Polymer physics of intracellular phase transitions. *Nat. Phys.*, 11(11):899–904, 2015.
  - [38] Benjamin R. Sabari, Alessandra Dall’Agnese, Ann Boija, Isaac A. Klein, Eliot L. Coffey, Krishna Shrinivas, Brian J. Abraham, Nancy M. Hannett, Alicia V. Zamudio, John C. Manteiga, Charles H. Li, Yang E. Guo, Daniel S. Day, Jurian Schuijers, Eliza Vasile, Sohail Malik, Denes Hnisz, Tong Ihn Lee, Ibrahim I. Cisse, Robert G. Roeder, Phillip A. Sharp, Arup K. Chakraborty, and Richard A. Young. Coactivator condensation at super-enhancers links phase separation and gene control. *Science (80-. )*, 361(6400):eaar3958, 2018.
  - [39] Won-Ki Cho, Jan-Hendrik Spille, Micca Hecht, Choongman Lee, Charles Li, Valentin Grube, and Ibrahim I. Cisse. Mediator and RNA polymerase II clusters associate in transcription-dependent condensates. *Science (80-. )*, 361(6400):412–415, jul 2018.
  - [40] Ann Boija, Isaac A. Klein, Benjamin R. Sabari, Alessandra Dall’Agnese, Eliot L. Coffey, Alicia V. Zamudio, Charles H. Li, Krishna Shrinivas, John C. Manteiga, Nancy M. Hannett, Brian J. Abraham, Lena K. Afeyan, Yang E. Guo, Jenna K. Rimel, Charli B. Fant, Jurian Schuijers, Tong Ihn Lee, Dylan J. Taatjes, and Richard A. Young. Transcription Factors Activate Genes through the Phase-Separation Capacity of Their Activation Domains. *Cell*, 175(7):1842–1855, 2018. *\*In this paper the authors provide evidence that transcription factors may regulate gene transcription by phase separating with Mediator.*
  - [41] C A Brackley, Stephen Taylor, Argyris Papanonis, Peter R Cook, and Davide Marenduzzo. Nonspecific bridging-induced attraction drives clustering of DNA-binding proteins and genome organization. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA*, 110(38):E3605–11, sep 2013.
  - [42] Yongdae Shin, Yi Che Chang, Daniel S.W. Lee, Joel Berry, David W. Sanders, Pierre Ronceray, Ned S. Wingreen, Mikko Haataja, and Clifford P. Brangwynne. Liquid Nuclear Condensates Mechanically Sense and Restructure the Genome. *Cell*, 175(6):1481–1491.e13, 2018.
  - [43] C. A. Brackley, J. Johnson, S. Kelly, P. R. Cook, and D. Marenduzzo. Simulated binding of transcription factors to active and inactive regions folds human chromosomes into loops, rosettes and topological domains. *Nucleic Acids Res.*, 44(8):3503–3512, 2016.
  - [44] David Zwicker, Anthony A. Hyman, and Frank Jülicher. Suppression of Ostwald ripening in active emulsions. *Phys. Rev. E*, 92(1):1–13, 2015.
  - [45] Jean David Wurtz and Chiu Fan Lee. Stress granule formation via ATP depletion-Triggered phase separation. *New J. Phys.*, 20(4):045008, 2018. *\*This paper presents a mathematical formulation for the formation of stress granules in the cytoplasm via ATP-dependent phase separation.*
  - [46] David Zwicker, Rabea Seyboldt, Christoph A. Weber, Anthony A. Hyman, and Frank Jülicher. Growth and division of active droplets provides a model for protocells. *Nat. Phys.*, 13(4):408–413, 2017. *\*This paper provides a physical explanation for the division of droplets in non-equilibrium systems driven by chemical reactions with applications to the formation of protocells.*
  - [47] P M Chaikin and T C Lubensky. *Principles of Condensed Matter Physics*, volume c. Cambridge University Press, 2007.
  - [48] Shovamayee Maharana, Jie Wang, Dimitrios K. Papadopoulos, Doris Richter, Andrey Pozniakovsky, Ina Poser, Marc Bickle, Sandra Rizk, Jordina Guillén-Boixet, Titus M. Franzmann, Marcus Jahnel, Lara Marrone, Young Tae Chang, Jared Sterneckert, Pavel Tomancak, Anthony A. Hyman, and Simon Alberti. RNA buffers the phase separation behavior of prion-like RNA binding proteins. *Science (80-. )*, 360(6391):918–921, 2018. *\*\*This paper directly quantifies the phase behaviour of FUS and formation of aberrant structures as a function of the abundance of RNA. The authors discover that, strikingly, while low concentrations of RNA promote phase separation of FUS in vitro, high concentration of RNA act as a buffer and contrasts phase separation.*
  - [49] Yuan Lin, David S.W. Protter, Michael K. Rosen, and Roy Parker. Formation and Maturation of Phase-Separated Liquid Droplets by RNA-Binding Proteins. *Mol. Cell*, 60(2):208–219, 2015.
  - [50] Nick Gilbert, Shelagh Boyle, Heike Fiegler, Kathryn Woodfine, Nigel P. Carter, and Wendy A. Bickmore. Chromatin architecture of the human genome: Generic domains are enriched in open chromatin fibers. *Cell*, 118(5):555–566, 2004.
  - [51] Alistair N. Boettiger, Bogdan Bintu, Jeffrey R. Moffitt, Siyuan Wang, Brian J. Beliveau, Geoffrey Fudenberg, Maxim Imakaev, Leonid A. Mirny, Chao-ting Wu, and Xiaowei Zhuang. Super-resolution imaging reveals distinct chromatin folding for different epigenetic states. *Nature*, 529(7586):418–422, jan 2016. *\*\* This paper is the first to use super-resolution microscopy (STORM) to provide quantitative insights into chromatin conformations in vivo. It reports compelling evidence that heterochromatin assumes more collapsed conformations than euchromatin. The au-*

- thors further find that H3K27me3 regions assume a different collapsed state with respect to H3K9me3-rich ones.
- [52] Nathaniel A. Hathaway, Oliver Bell, Courtney Hodges, Erik L. Miller, Dana S. Neel, and Gerald R. Crabtree. Dynamics and memory of heterochromatin in living cells. *Cell*, 149(7):1447–1460, 2012.
  - [53] D Michieletto, E Orlandini, and D Marenduzzo. Polymer model with Epigenetic Recoloring Reveals a Pathway for the de novo Establishment and 3D Organization of Chromatin Domains. *Phys. Rev. X*, 6(4):041047, dec 2016.
  - [54] Davide Michieletto, Michael Chiang, Davide Coli, Argyris Papantonis, Enzo Orlandini, Peter R. Cook, and Davide Marenduzzo. Shaping Epigenetic Memory via Genomic Bookmarking. *Nucleic Acids Res.*, 46(1):83–93, 2018.
  - [55] Sheila S. Teves, Luye An, Anders S. Hansen, Liangqi Xie, Xavier Darzacq, and Robert Tjian. A dynamic mode of mitotic bookmarking by transcription factors. *Elife*, 5(NOVEMBER2016):1–24, 2016.
  - [56] Anne Rademacher, Fabian Erdel, Jorge Trojanowski, Sabrina Schumacher, and Karsten Rippe. Real-time observation of light-controlled transcription in living cells. *J. Cell Sci.*, 130(24):4213–4224, 2017. \* In this paper the authors describe a novel optogenetic method to induce chromatin recruitment and transcription at a specific genomic locus. Importantly, they see a history-dependent transcription initiation which supports the concept of transcription micro-environment.
  - [57] Ryosuke Nagashima, Kayo Hibino, S.S. Ashwin, Michael Babokhov, Shin Fujishiro, Ryosuke Imai, Tadasu Nozaki, Sachiko Tamura, Tomomi Tani, Hiroshi Kimura, Michael Shribak, Masato T. Kanemaki, Masaki Sasai, and Kazuhiro Maeshima. Single nucleosome imaging reveals loose genome chromatin networks via active RNA polymerase II. *J Cell Biol*, doi: jcb.201811090, 2019.